



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,686	02/22/2005	Stefan Kirchhoff	DN 02 - 011	9517
7590 09/22/2009				
Michael J Herman Minerals Technologies Inc One Highland Avenue Bethlehem, PA 18017			EXAMINER BROWN II, DAVID N	
			ART UNIT 1791	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 09/22/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p style="text-align: center;">Interview Summary</p>	Application No. 10/525,686	Applicant(s) KIRCHHOFF ET AL.	
	Examiner DAVID N. BROWN II	Art Unit 1791	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DAVID N. BROWN II.

(3) Derrick Jensen.

(2) Joseph S. DelSole.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 September 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 4,107,244 (Ochiai).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant compared the combined areas described in claim 1 with the combining of areas in Ochiai (column 4 lines 24-28, 34, 40-46 and column 5 lines 18-26) The differences between the features mentioned by applicant and those of the prior art were not present in the claim.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/DAVID N. BROWN II/ Examiner, Art Unit 1791	/Joseph S. Del Sole/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791
--	--